

# Introduction

Many years of genetic research by Hendrix Genetics have developed layers with excellent traits including livability, production and egg quality.

These highly favorable genetic characteristics can only be fully realized when layers are provided with good management, which includes, but is not limited to, good quality feed, suitable housing and proper management practice.

The purpose of this nutrition management guide is to help producers to gain the best possible results from their investment. This will be achieved by providing conditions in which the layers can thrive. The information supplied in this publication is based on the analysis of extensive research and field results, produced over time and with many years of experience.

We do recognize that many egg producers have developed their own management program, based on specific housing types, climate, feed, market conditions and other factors. These individual management techniques will also be the result of experience and many of these techniques will work for our layers as well.

Therefore, do not hesitate to use your own experience in conjunction with the guidelines in this guide. And of course, do not hesitate to consult our distributors who will be happy to help in any way they can.



Villa 'de Körver' P.O. Box 114 5830 AC Boxmeer The Netherlands, EU T +31 485 319111 E layers@hendrix-genetics.com hendrix-genetics.com



Version L7121-2

Contents

Introduction	2
Rearing period	
Feeding during the rearing period	4
Production period	
Feeding program during the production period	8
Energy level selection during the production period	10
Amino acids requirements	12
Feed formulation	13
Calcium nutrition and particle size	16
Mineral and oil level recommendations	18
Feed presentation	20
Suggested premix composition	24

### **Rearing Period**

# Feeding during the rearing period

#### **Energy level**

During the first few weeks of life, young pullets, are incapable of regulating their energy intake according to the energy concentration of the diet. It takes weeks to develop the digestive tract.

During the first 8-10 weeks, any increase in the energy level is accompanied by an increase in growth. When given the feed in a crumb form, young pullets can increase their feed intake.

Table 1 shows the influence of energy level and presentation method on the bodyweight of pullets at 5 weeks of age.

#### Table 1. Energy level and feed presentation on body weight of pullets

Presentation Dietary Energy Level	Mash Bodyweight at 5 weeks	Crumbs Bodyweight at 5 weeks
3100 kcal	375 g	412 g
2790 kcal	345 g	405 g

Source: Newcombe, 1985

After 10 weeks of age, pullets correctly regulate their energy intake according to the energy level of the diet, in both hot and temperate climates. Underconsumption during that period is often the result of a poor grit size. The objective is to develop the pullet's ability to eat feed, so that it can increase its consumption by approximately 40% in the first few weeks of lay.

During the period 10-17 weeks, it is important to develop the digestive system by using diets with an energy concentration less than or equal to that of the layer's diet.

#### **Protein requirements**

The amino acid requirements are dependent on the feed conversion ratio and, therefore on age; that is why, when young, the requirements expressed in mg of amino-acids per gram of growth are the same as for a broiler.

Table 2 shows the influence of amino acid content on the weight of pullets at 4 weeks.

#### Table 2. Effect of amino acid content on bodyweight of pullets

Ration (in % of the recommendations)	100%	90%
Protein (%)	20	18
Digestible Lysine (%)	1.01	0.91
Digestible Methionine+Cystine (%)	0.76	0.69
Weight at 4 weeks (g)	335	302

Source: Bourgon, 1997

Any delay in growth during the first few weeks will be reflected in a reduced bodyweight at 17 weeks and in later performance. It is, therefore, extremely important to use a starter diet for the first 4 or 5 weeks, which has an amino acid/protein ratio similar to that of a broiler. Any amino acid deficiency will result in a reduction in growth rate and an increase in the feed conversion ratio.

Amino acid content of diets (in % of the recommendations)	100%	90%
Bodyweight at 28 days (g)	335	302
Bodyweight at 118 days (g)	1685	1630
Feed consumption (g)	6951	6904
Feed conversion ratio	4.12	4.24

Table 3. Effect of amino acid deficiency on bodyweight, feed consumption, feed conversion of pullets

Source: Bougon, 1997

In hot climates, the amino acid and mineral concentration should be slightly higher than in temperate climates. What results in a reduction in the maintenance requirement, and, therefore in the feed conversion ratio.

#### **Feed presentation**

Feed consumption is determined to a large extent by the form of presentation and the stage to which the digestive tract has developed. Presenting feed in crumb form makes it easier for the chicken to eat it, reduces the time taken in eating, and encourages growth. The energy cost of eating, thus saved, gives an improvement in feed conversion ratio.

#### Table 4. Feed presentation on bodyweight of pullets

Mash	Crumbs	Difference
984	1016	+ 32 g
1344	1405	+ 61 g
1589	1664	+ 75 g
	984 1344	984     1016       1344     1405

Source: Internal research

This benefit of feeding crumbs will only be obtained when the birds have access to good quality crumbs in the feeders. A poor quality crumb can lead to a build-up of fine particles in the feeders and, therefore have the opposite effect to that sought. From 0 to 4/5 weeks, we recommend using a crumbed diet, after which mash, with a good particle size, should be used. It is, however, possible to use a granular feed later, where the grinding is coarser, or even as crumbs, if needed. However, we recommend using a mash diet from 12 weeks, to avoid the risk of under-consumption at the beginning of the sexual maturity, if the change is made later.

The bird's appetite for feed depends on its particle size. After 4 weeks, we recommend the following particle sizes:

- Particles below 0.5mm: 15% maximum
- Particles above 3.2mm: 10% maximum

At least 75 to 80% of the particles should be between 0.5 and 3.2mm. If this standard cannot be achieved, it is preferable to use a diet of good quality crumbs.

#### **Development of the digestive system**

The achievement of good growth and a rapid increase in feed consumption at start of lay depends on the chicken having a well-developed digestive system, especially a good strong gizzard.

Using feed of good particle size, giving grit during rearing and/or using limestone granules from 10 weeks will all contribute towards good gizzard development.

Between 3 and 10 weeks, we recommend that 3 gram per pullet per week (particle size 2 to 3mm) are offered. After 10 weeks, this can be increased to 4 to 5 gram (particle size 3 to 5mm). It is also possible from 10 weeks onwards to use a diet in which 50% of the calcium is supplied in carbonate form, with a particle size of 2 - 4mm.

#### Feed specifications during rearing period

These requirements are based on the "European Amino Acids Table" (WPSA, 1992) of raw materials composition and expressed in table 5 as digestible amino acids by using the digestibility coefficients mentioned in the "Tables de composition et de valeur nutritive des matières premières destinées aux animaux d'élevage" (INRA editions 2002). Please see table on the next page.



	Diet	Starter	Grower	Pullet	Pre-lay
Between 18 & 24°C	Units	0 - 4 weeks	4 - 10 weeks	10 - 16 weeks	112 days to
		1 - 28 days	28 - 70 days	70 - 112 days	2% lay
Metabolisable energy	Kcal/kg	2950-2975	2850-2875	2750	2750
	MJ/kg	12.3-12.4	11.9-12.0	11.5	11.5
Crude protein	%	20.5	19	16	16.8
Methionine	%	0.52	0.45	0.33	0.40
Methionine + Cystine	%	0.86	0.76	0.60	0.67
Lysine	%	1.16	0.98	0.74	0.80
Threonine	%	0.78	0.66	0.50	0.56
Tryptophan	%	0.217	0.194	0.168	0.181
Digestible amino acids					
Dig. Methionine	%	0.48	0.41	0.30	0.38
Dig. Meth. + Cystine	%	0.78	0.66	0.53	0.60
Dig. Lysine	%	1.00	0.85	0.64	0.71
Dig. Threonine.	%	0.67	0.57	0.43	0.48
Dig. Tryptophan	%	0.186	0.166	0.145	0.155
Major minerals					
Calcium	%	1.05 - 1.10	0.90 - 1.10	0.90 - 1.00 <sup>1</sup>	2 - 2.10 1
Available Phosphorus	%	0.48	0.42	0.36	0.42
Chloride minimum	%	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14
Sodium minimum	%	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15

	Diet	Starter	Grower	Pullet	Pre-lay
Above 24°C	Units	0 - 5 weeks	5 - 10 weeks	10 - 16 weeks	112 days to
		1 - 35 days	35 - 70 days	70 - 112 days	2% lay
Metabolisable energy	Kcal/kg	2950-2975	2850-2875	2750	2750
	MJ/kg	12.3-12.4	11.9-12.0	11.5	11.5
Crude protein	%	20.5	20.0	16.8	17.5
Methionine	%	0.52	0.47	0.35	0.42
Methionine + Cystine	%	0.86	0.80	0.63	0.70
Lysine	%	1.16	1.03	0.78	0.84
Threonine	%	0.78	0.69	0.53	0.59
Tryptophan	%	0.217	0.207	0.175	0.190
Digestible amino acids					
Dig. Methionine	%	0.48	0.43	0.32	0.40
Dig. Meth. + Cystine	%	0.78	0.69	0.56	0.63
Dig. Lysine	%	1.00	0.89	0.67	0.74
Dig. Threonine.	%	0.67	0.61	0.45	0.50
Dig. Tryptophan	%	0.195	0.175	0.152	0.163
Major minerals					
Calcium	%	1.05 - 1.10	0.95 - 1.10	0.95 - 1.05 <sup>1</sup>	2.1 - 2.2 <sup>1</sup>
Available Phosphorus	%	0.48	0.44	0.38	0.44
Chloride minimum	%	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15
Sodium minimum	%	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16

<sup>1</sup> It is possible to supply 50% of the calcium in granular form (2-4mm diameter)

### **Production Period**

# Feeding program during rearing period

#### Basic rules of our feeding program

Feeding the birds must be simple, to reduce the risk of errors at varying levels in the manufacturing and delivery process. There are also additional reasons which are related to the birds directly. For example, birds are very sensitive to the feed presentation and the introduction of new raw materials. For this reason, we recommend a limited number of feed changes.

Amino acid requirements depend on the productivity of the flocks and the uniformity of productivity. Our amino acid recommendations are based on an average productivity of 60g per day. At 50 weeks, the egg mass produced is around 58g. A lot of birds can produce more than 60g of egg mass over a period of 50–65 weeks. This is the reason why it is difficult to reduce the amino acids levels after 50 weeks without affecting the productivity.

A deficiency in amino acids reduces in a first-time egg weight and in a second time the persistency, around 4 or 5 weeks later.

#### Pre-lay feed or layer 1

Medullary bone is developed in long bone before the first ovulation. The total calcium contained in this medullary is around 1.5 to 2 grams. A pre-layer feed with a higher calcium level is needed to establish this bone reserve. It must be used from approximately 16 weeks. Its characteristics are similar to the layer 1, but with a level of calcium of 2–2.2%.

Don't forget to use the layer 1 before 2% lay. If the change is realized later, the earliest birds ingest around 1.8g of calcium and need to produce a shell with 2g of calcium. They will stop or reduce laying for some days and will produce eggs without shell. These birds will exhibit cage layer fatigue later, and osteoporosis at the end of lay.

The risk can be reduced by using a layer 1 instead of a pre-lay feed. However, if the limestone is in 2-4mm particles form, it is possible to use the layer 1 at 16 weeks. The main reason for the use of pre-lay feed was the risk of under consumption when the limestone used was in powder form.

#### Layer 1

Layer 1 has to be satisfying the amino acids requirements for growth and production at a time where the feed consumption is lower. At start of lay, feed consumption is lower because the birds have not yet reached their adult bodyweight. Growth is not completely finished by 28 weeks. With regard to protein, a requirement for growth is added to the requirement for production.

From a practical point of view, we have estimated that it is necessary to increase the concentration of amino acids by about 6% during the 18-28 week period in relation to the feed consumption observed after 28 weeks.

This feed must be used until the moment that the feed consumption is normal or an average egg size of 60-61g is obtained, or around 26-28 weeks.

At the onset of lay, it is desirable to encourage feed consumption and quickly to obtain eggs of marketable size. For this, a feed enriched in fat allows to improve the presentation of diet which gives an increase in feed consumption. Oils rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids are responsible for a large increase in egg weight.

#### Layer 2

This feed must be used from 26-28 weeks until 50 weeks, or end of lay. If it is possible, it is good to increase the limestone level at 50 weeks to reduce the percentage of seconds. Birds have daily requirements for amino-acids and minerals, consequently, the percentage of nutrients must be defined according to the feed consumption observed. The feed consumption depends mainly on the energy requirement and on the temperature.

#### Layer 3

Amino acids requirement: Taking into account persistency in lay, individual variability and egg weight, the requirement for amino acids does not fall throughout the laying period. In an economic context, it may be worth reducing the safety margins slightly. However, the best results, in terms of productivity and feed conversion ratio, are obtained, when the intake level of amino acids is maintained. Any deficiency of amino acids, no matter, which type of amino acid, shows up as a reduction in performance, of which 2/3 is due to a reduction in rate of lay and the remaining 1/3 is a decrease in mean egg weight. It is, therefore, not possible to reduce egg weight towards the end of lay by reducing the amino acid concentration without bringing about a reduction in rate of lay.

Persistency in lay has improved considerably (30 to 50 weeks above 90% lay). An analysis of the individual performance over the period 40-66 weeks shows that 66% of the birds had performance above average. The 40% best layers had laid 177 eggs in 182 days and/or 63.2 g of egg mass per day.

Quintile	Rate of lay	Egg Mass/day
1st	98.2%	65.0 g
2nd	96.3%	61.4 g
3rd	94.1%	59.1 g
4th	90.1%	56.0 g
5th	76.6%	47.8 g
Mean	91.0%	57.8 g
% of pullets above the mean	66.3%	60.4%

#### Table 6. Production levels of birds in a flock: average versus best performing

Source: Internal research

#### Egg weight:

A reduction of the oil percentage and energy level is a way to get a stabilization of the egg weight.

#### Shell quality:

Shell weight increases with age throughout lay. For that reason, we advise increasing the calcium concentration in the diet from 50 weeks of age.

#### Table 7. Effect of age on egg number and egg shell weight

Age of the control	Number of eggs controlled	Eggshell weight (g)
Shell weight at 30 weeks g	923	6.25
Shell weight at 42 weeks g	909	6.39
Shell weight at 50 weeks g	807	6.32
Shell weight at 60 weeks g	732	6.51

Source: Internal research

#### Energy level selection during the production period Influence of the energy level on productivity

Results from experiments on the effect of the feed energy level on production, carried out during the last 15 years, with white or brown strains, conclude that: Between 2400 and 3000 Kcal, for an energy level reduction of 100 Kcal, the energy consumption drops by an average of 1.2% when the effect of diluting the feed is studied, and by 1.4% when the reduction in fat levels is studied. The energy level of the feed has little effect on the number of eggs produced, and, in all cases, the differences are less than 1%.

The egg weight reduces in accordance with the reduction in the feed energy level. The reduction can be estimated at about 0.5% or 0.3g for a variation of 100 kcal. The consumption rate, expressed in Kcal per gram of egg produced, always improves with the dilution of the feed. The gain is about 0.8% for 100 Kcal. This rate gain is a result of a reduction in body weight, an improvement in feather cover and an improvement in the digestibility of the feed.

In many experiments, the addition of fats seems to have a specific effect on the energy consumption due to an improvement in palatability and the physical form of the feed. When the feed is diluted, the reduction in consumption is particularly marked at the time of the change. Laying hens take several weeks to increase their level of consumption.

#### Influence of the fiber level on productivity

Feed dilution forces hens to increase the volume and quantity of feed ingested and, therefore, to increase the feed consumption time. There is no longer any doubt that feed dilution brings about an improvement in plumage and a reduction in feather pecking itself. This explains the mortality reduction observed in certain trials using diluted diets.

Comparison between feed in meal or pellet form shows that the consumption times are lower when the feed is in pellet or crumb form. This explains why feed in pellet form causes deterioration in plumage and increases feather pecking.

Even though most researchers are in agreement over establishing a relationship between consumption time and feather pecking, some very recent studies show a specific requirement for insoluble fiber. Indeed, it appears that there is a specific requirement for insoluble fiber.

The absence of insoluble fibers in the feed is responsible for the consumption of feathers and their presence in the gizzard, even when hens are housed in individual cages. Some studies make it possible to conclude that insoluble fibers do influence the quality of plumage and on mortality. The specific size of the fibers, mainly lignin, would seem to be important.

We have noted that countries using sunflower meal in quite significant quantities have lower mortalities than those of countries that do not use it, whether using cages or floor systems. Very positive effects were observed after the introduction of sunflower meal to feed for free-range hens.

#### Effect of granulometry

Feed consumption is highly dependent upon granulometry. Chickens have a marked preference for grains. They are easy to pick up and do not lead to beaks becoming clogged. A hen will always tend to leave fine particles. Following trial was carried out: a commercial feed, of good particle size, was re-milled through a finer screen. The feeds were distributed from 19 weeks of age. The results of the trial are shown in tabel 8.

Particle size	Standard	Fine	Difference in %
< 0.5 mm	9%	31%	
> 3.2 mm	10%	0%	
0.5 to 3.2 mm	81%	69%	
> 1.6 mm	65%	21%	
Laying, %	93.9	90.7	- 3.4
Egg weight, g	63.3	62.7	- 0.9
Egg mass, g/j	59.41	56.85	- 4.3
Consumption, g/j	118.1	114.2	- 3.4
Consumption Index	1.989	2.008	+ 0.9
Weight at 33 wks. g	1.930	1.883	

#### Table 8. Influence of feed granularity on performance of laying hens between 23 and 51 weeks

Source: Internal research

Feed consumption is reduced by about 4g when the feed is finely ground. This leads to a reduction of egg mass produced. Distribution of fine feed is equivalent to rationing for hens. In this experiment, the laying rate proves to be affected more than the egg weight. Sometimes in other experiments, the reverse is observed.

#### Conclusion

Energy regulation is not specific to a breed, white egg layers or brown egg layers, but depends on the dilution methods used. The feed density (gram per liter) seems to be the limiting factor in ingestion regulation. The presence of insoluble fiber appears to be essential. It increases gizzard size, improves starch digestibility and limits feather pecking by reducing the need to ingest feathers.

Conversely, the addition of fats brings about an improvement in feed palatability and thus an increase in energy ingestion in proportions which can be very significant. Increase in egg weight is only one result of this. These effects are dependent upon the quantity and type of fats added.

From a practical point of view, the effect of low density, high cellulose (insoluble fiber) raw materials may be balanced by the use of fats. The feed presentation also has an effect on energy consumption. Too fine feed presentation causes a reduction in energy consumption.

It thus appears that the three following factors must be controlled:

- 1) physical form of the feed
- 2) cellulose content
- 3) oil content

A balance between these three criteria must be sought in order to make possible the expression of genetic potential at a lower cost.

#### Principal applications and recommendations

At the onset of lay, it is desirable to encourage feed consumption and quickly to obtain eggs of marketable size. For this, a feed enriched in fat (1.5 to 2.5%) and incorporating a minimum of insoluble fiber is recommended. After the onset of laying, a slightly lower energy level, richer in cellulose, will allow a good energy efficiency to be obtained (expressed in kcal) and plumage to be maintained. This strategy could be particularly beneficial for alternative production (free range, organic...), especially in the absence of ground litter.

From the practical point of view, the effect of raw materials which are rich in cellulose (insoluble fiber) and of low density can be compensated for by the use of fat. Feed granulometry also affects energy consumption. Particles which are too fine lead to a reduction in consumption.

### Amino acids requirements

#### Genetic progress and nutritional consequences

Genetic progress has a considerable influence on dietary amino acid concentrations. Over the last 30 years, production to a constant age has increased by more than 40%, while feed consumption has been reduced by about 10%. An important consequence of this genetic progress has been a change in the daily amino acid requirements. It has also called into question the practice of phase feeding, since productivity remains high over longer and longer periods. The best units nowadays have daily egg outputs of over 60g/bird right up to, or over 52 weeks of age.

The implication of genetic change in deciding amino acid levels is, therefore, considerable. It can be approached in the following way:

1971	2.87	g of feed / g of egg
1981	2.36	g of feed / g of egg
2005	1.95 (-17%)	g of feed / g of egg

#### Table 9. Feed conversion ratio over the period 30-50 weeks

Source: Internal research

Classically, daily nutrient requirements have been expressed in mg/day. While this type of expression may be very easy for the formulator to use, it does not allow for genetic progress, nor for genotypic differences. Those genotypes, which produce large eggs, have larger daily requirements than those which produce small eggs.

Most researchers agree to the expression of nutrient requirements in mg of amino acids per gram of eggs produced. This method enables us to tackle the 'requirement' starting from numerous experimental data sources. It is more precise. The synthesis that we have carried out according to this method shows it to be an excellent way of determining requirements.

#### Ideal protein and amino acids requirements

The concept of ideal proteins is a means of expressing the requirements for amino acids as a percentage of the requirement for LYS. There is a limited interest in applying this concept to layers. It implies that a balance between the different amino acids is necessary for optimizing requirements. That would suggest that high protein or amino acid levels would have a negative effect on performance.

In fact the formulator should make a point of satisfying the requirement for the following amino acids: MET - CYS - LYS - THR - TRP - ISO and VAL. This is only valid for diets and raw materials in common usage.

Those requirements, which need to be defined by comparison with reference tables, have been expressed from NRC (1994) table of raw materials composition. These results have been expressed as digestible amino acids by using the digestibility coefficients mentioned in the RPAN 1993 tables. Giving the expression in the digestible form has reduced the variability of the results observed.

Recommendations for amino acids expressed in total or digestible and ideal proteins established for a production of 59.5 egg mass per day. Mass per day are shown in table 10.

Limiting amino acids	Ideal protein based on NRC 1994	d Requirements in mg per based on NRC table 1994			ly Requirements based on NRC table 1994	
		Dig. AA	Total AA	Dig. AA	Total AA	
LYS	100	13.50	15.25	810	900	
MET	54	7.2	7.6	430	455	
MET + CYS	85	11.45	13.0	690	770	
TRY	22	3.00	3.5	180	280	
ILE	83	11.5	13.0	690	775	
VAL	93	12.6	14.2	760	840	
THR	70	9.4	11.0	565	655	

### Table 10. Recommendations for amino acids expressed in total or digestible and ideal proteins established for a production of 59.5 egg mass per day

### Feed formulation

Bird requirements and formulation of diets should be made in terms of digestible amino acids. By formulating in digestible amino acids, one is better able to satisfy the requirements of the birds, to reduce the necessary safety margins and assess the raw materials according to their true biological value.

Formulation according to total amino acids leads to the same nutritional value being given to all raw materials irrespective of their digestibility. That leads naturally to increasing the safety margins in order to guarantee fully meeting the requirements of the birds.

When diets are formulated by taking into account the need to satisfy the requirement for each of the 7 essential amino acids, it doesn't seem to be necessary to introduce a minimal constraint for protein. The requirements for the limiting amino acids are generally enough. On the other hand, if all the essential amino acids are not considered when formulating, it is necessary to use a constraint for minimum protein, to reduce the risk of a deficiency.

The experience acquired during the last decades in the feeding of layers, especially the use of synthetic lysine, has enabled us to assert that ISOLEUCINE and VALINE are becoming the limiting factors in layers feeds when meat products are excluded from the feed or when they are used in formulae based on wheat.

TRYPTOPHAN is the limiting factor in formulae, where the base consists of maize, soybean meal and meat products.

THREONINE and still less ARGININE do not appear to be limiting in the diets used nowadays. These last two amino acids need to be studied still further.

When the requirements for ISO, VAL and TRY are covered, the requirements for the other essential and non-essential amino acids are always satisfied when 300mg of protein per gram of egg is supplied. When the feed formula takes into account the requirements for ISOLEUCINE and VALINE, it is not necessary to impose a constraint for a minimum protein level.

The amino acid concentration of the diets, therefore, depends on:

- 1) Potential of egg mass produced, which determines the daily requirements.
- 2) The daily feed consumption which determines the amino acid concentration.

#### Amino acids recommendations

Formulation of layer diets can be carried out by introducing ISOLEUCINE and VALINE as nutritional constraints, replacing protein as a constraint. If this is not possible, some indications for a minimum of protein for feed not containing meat and bone meal (MBM), are given hereafter.

From a practical point of view, we advise to increase the concentration of amino acids by about 6% during the 18-28 weeks' period in relation to the feed consumption observed after 28 weeks. Total or digestible amino acids levels are established for a production of 59.5g egg mass per day.



Table 11. Recommendations for amino acids expressed as total and digestible amino acids for a production of 59.5 egg mass per day and given for alternative feed intake levels.

Average feed intake observed after 28 wks in g/day	105	110	115	120	125
	From 29	% lay to 28 weeks	old (1)		
Protein w/o MBM %	(18.2-18.7)	(17.7-18.2)	(17.2-17.6)	(16.7-17.2)	(16.2-16.7)
Protein with MBM %	(19.5-20.0)	(18.9-19.4)	(18.2-18.8)	(17.9-18.4)	(17.4-17.9)
Total amino acids % :					
Lysine	0.91	0.87	0.83	0.80	0.77
Methionine	0.46	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.39
Methionine + Cystine	0.77	0.74	0.71	0.68	0.65
Tryptophan	0.210	0.200	0.192	0.184	0.176
Threonine	0.66	0.63	0.60	0.58	0.56
Isoleucine	0.80	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.67
Valine	0.86	0.82	0.79	0.76	0.73
Digestible amino acids % :					
Lysine	0.81	0.78	0.74	0.71	0.68
Methionine	0.44	0.42	0.40	0.38	0.37
Methionine + Cystine	0.70	0.66	0.64	0.61	0.59
Tryptophan	0.182	0.173	0.166	0.159	0.153
Threonine	0.57	0.54	0.52	0.49	0.47
Isoleucine	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.64	0.61
Valine	0.78	0.75	0.71	0.68	0.66
	From 28	3 weeks to the en	d of lay		
Protein w/o MBM %	(17.4-17.9)	(16.9-17.4)	(16.4-16.9)	(15.9-16.4)	15.4-15.9)
Protein with MBM %	(18.7-19.2)	(18.1-18.6)	(17.6-18.1)	(17.1-17.6)	(16.6-17.1)
Total amino acids % :					
Lysine	0.86	0.82	0.79	075	0.72
Methionine	0.44	0.42	0.40	0.38	0.37
Methionine + Cystine	0.73	0.70	0.63	0.64	0.61
Tryptophan	0.198	0.189	0.181	0.173	0.166
Threonine	0.62	0.60	0.57	0.55	0.52
Isoleucine	0.76	0.72	0.69	0.66	0.64
Valine	0.81	0.78	0.74	0.71	0.68
Digestible amino acids % :					
Lysine	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.64
Methionine	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.36	0.35
Methionine + Cystine	0.66	0.63	0.60	0.58	0.55
Tryptophan	0.170	0.162	0.155	0.148	0.142
Threonine	0.53	0.51	0.49	0.47	0.45
Isoleucine	0.69	0.66	0.63	0.60	0.58
Valine	0.74	0.70	0.67	0.65	0.52

These requirements are based on the "European Amino Acids Table" (WPSA, 1992) of raw materials composition and expressed as digestible amino acids by using the digestibility coefficients mentioned in the "Tables de composition et de valeur nutritive des matières premières destinées aux animaux d'élevage" (INRA editions 2002).

#### Calcium nutrition supply and particle size

Year after year, improvements in productivity are brought about by reducing the time taken to produce an egg. Nowadays, the time taken to produce the egg is close to 24 hours which enables us to achieve very high rates of production with eggs being laid early in the morning.

Calcification of the eggshell takes about 12 hours being completed, on average 2h-2h30min. before oviposition. Eggshell quality depends to a large extent on the quantity of calcium available in the digestive tract during the night and the form in which calcium carbonate is supplied play determining roles in deciding shell quality.

Some differences exist between white and brown layers for a program of 16 hours of light:

#### Table 12. Moment of calcium deposit for white and brown layers

In Average (hours after lights on)	White Layers	Brown Layers
Beginning of large calcium deposit	15h30 (+/- 2hrs)	12h30 (+/- 2hrs)
End of calcium deposit	3h30 (+/- 2hrs)	0h30 (+/- 2hrs)

Calcification of the shell is mainly realised during the night. A high percentage of brown birds stop calcification at lights on or just after while white layers finish their shell after lights on.

#### **Calcium absorption**

During shell formation, the bird uses the calcium contained in the digestive tract, it is dissolved by abundant secretion of Hydrochloric Acid. Regular gizzard contractions deliver calcium through the intestine. When the quantity of calcium is insufficient, the bone reserves are used (the calcium is deposited and the phosphorus eliminated by the kidneys). It has been demonstrated many times that birds which are forced to use their bone reserves produce eggs of poorer shell quality. Sauveur (1988) said "The eggshells are thicker when the part played by the bones is small". Calcium deposition is slow during the first 5 hours after it enters the shell gland. After that and for approximately 10 hours, the rate of shell deposition is rapid and linear. Calcium absorption varies from approximately 30% to over 70% between periods without calcification and period of shell formation. For this reason, every increase in the quantity of calcium available at the end of the night leads to an improvement in shell quality.



#### Importance of large limestone particle size

Large size of calcium and retention: Large sizes of limestone (over 2mm) are retained in the digestive tract and dissolved slowly during the shell formation providing a more regular release of calcium.

The influence of particle size on the 'in vitro' and 'in vivo' solubility of calcium and its retention in the gizzard 5 hours after food withdrawal.

#### Table 13. Solubility of limestone by particle size

Diameter of limestone				Solubility (9	%)	
particles average size	In vitro		In vivo		Retention in the gizzard (g)	
(mm)	Α	В	Α	В	А	В
3.3 – 4.7	29.8	36.3	84.8	82.5	15.4	3.4
2.0 – 2.8	45.8	54.8	79.0	84.0	11.8	4.3
1.0 – 2.0	49.3	57.7	77.8	74.4	5.5	4.7
0.5 – 0.8	63.1	67.6	76.5	69.4	0.7	1.6

A = low solubility sample B = high solubility sample

Source: Zhang et al., 1997

#### Table 14. Relationship between particle size and calcium retention for a consumption of 3.75 g calcium

		Particles		
Size of	Deposited in	Stored in the gizzard after 24 hours	Calcium	retained
particles	the faeces	after 24 hours	g	%
0.5 to 0.8 mm	44%	0	1.94	52
2 to 5mm	16%	10%	2.40	64

Source: Rao and Roland, 1989

#### Large size of calcium and shell quality

The availability of calcium at the end of the night period is improved by using a coarse calcium source with a low solubility. In using a low solubility coarse limestone, the quantity of calcium available during the beginning of shell formation is reduced and improved at the end of the night.

The most important parameter is the solubility. The lower the solubility, the better the shell quality will be. Chen and Coon (1990) found a very high coefficient of regression between Shell Index and solubility. Coarse limestone with a high solubility is not able to optimize the shell quality. Coarse limestone with a high solubility is not able to optimize the shell quality. The shell quality are correct, there is no advantage in using oyster shell.

#### Table 15. Relation between limestone particle size and egg shell quality traits

Av. screen size (mm)	Shell index mg/cm²	Shell weight g	Specific gravity	Shell thickness µm
3.36	75.6	5.27	1.0837	302
2.38	74.3	5.21	1.0839	290
1.68	74.0	5.23	1.0828	296
1.02	73.7	5.16	1.0825	294
0.50	73.0	5.05	1.0821	286
0.15	70.9	4.97	1.0802	280

Source: Chen and Coon, 1990

#### Importance of soluble form of calcium

At "lights-on", those birds which have not completed calcification should have access to powdered calcium, which is very rapidly dissolved and absorbed. It takes no more than 30 minutes between the intake of calcium and the moment where calcium is incorporated into the shell. Koreleski et al (2003) studied which percentage of coarse particles of limestone are to be used with brown birds. The best result is observed with 60% of large particles.

Percentage of large particles used	Eggshell breaking strength N	Shell weight g	Shell Index mg per cm <sup>2</sup>	Shell thickness µm
0	33.6a	5.70	78.3	365
20	35.4ab	5.80	78.9	365
40	38.0d	5.75	79.7	368
60	38.2d	5.88	80.8	374
80	36.9cd	5.70	79.1	364
100	36.1bc	5.89	81.4	370

#### Table 16. Effect of the percentage of limestone in particles of 2 to 4mm on the shell characteristics

Source: Koreleski et al, 2003

#### **Recommendations**

White layers finish their shells after "lights on", consequently 50% of the calcium has to be in particles of 2 to 4mm and 50% in a powder form.

Around 40% of brown layers have finished their eggshell at "lights on", consequently 65% of the calcium has to be in particles of 2 to 4mm and 35% in a powder form.

### Mineral and oil level recommendations

#### Table 17. Daily requirement of nutrients during various stages in production

Daily Requirement		From 17 to 28 weeks	From 28 to 50 weeks	After 50 weeks
Available phosphorus (1)	mg	400	380	340
Available phosphorus (2)	mg	440	420	380
Total calcium	g	3.9 – 4.1	4.1 – 4.3	4.3 – 4.6
White birds: Coarse calcium (2 to 4mm)	g	2.0	2.1	2.2
Brown birds: Coarse calcium (2 to 4mm)	g	2.6	2.7	2.9
Sodium minimum	mg	180	180	180
Chloride mini-maxi	mg	170 - 260	170 - 260	170 - 260
Oil mini-maxi (3)	%	2 - 3	1 - 2	0.5 – 1.5
Fiber A minimum of coarse fibre or lignin is required to prevent feather pecking and improve the feed digestibility				


Average feed intake observed after 28 weeks in g / day		105	110	115	120	125
		From 2%	lay to 28 weeks	old		
Available phosphorus (1)	%	0.41	0.39	0.37	0.35	0.34
Available phosphorus (2)	%	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.39	0.37
Total calcium	%	3.9 - 4.1	3.8 - 4.0	3.6 - 3.8	3.4 – 3.6	3.3 – 3.5
Sodium minimum	%	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15
Chloride mini-maxi	%	0.17 - 0.26	0.16 - 0.25	0.16 - 0.24	0.15 - 0.23	0.15 - 0.22
		From 28	weeks to 50 wee	e <mark>ks</mark>		
Available phosphorus (1)	%	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.31
Available phosphorus (2)	%	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.35	0.34
Total calcium	%	3.9 - 4.1	3.7 - 3.9	3.6 - 3.8	3.4 - 3.6	3.3 - 3.5
Sodium minimum	%	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.14
Chloride mini-maxi	%	0.16 - 0.25	0.16 - 0.24	0.15 - 0.23	0.14 - 0.22	0.14 - 0.21
		From 50 w	eeks to the end	of lay		
Available phosphorus (1)	%	0.32	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.27
Available phosphorus (2)	%	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.30
Total calcium	%	4.1 – 4.3	3.9 – 4.1	3.8 - 4.0	3.6 – 3.8	3.5 – 3.7
Sodium minimum	%	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.14
Chloride mini-maxi	%	0.16 - 0.25	0.16 - 0.24	0.15 - 0.23	0.14 - 0.22	0.14 - 0.21

#### Table 18. Nutrient requirement in percentage depends on feed intake after 28 weeks of age

1) When coarse limestone is supplied as particles of 2 to 4mm, it is possible to use these values.

2) We advise using these values when the calcium is supplied in powder form.

3) Adding vegetable oils which are rich in unsaturated fatty acids increases egg weight. The suggested inclusion rate for medium to large sized eggs is 2-3%. For markets requesting small to medium sizes eggs, a lower inclusion rate, is advised. Therefore, diet should be adjusted according to each market's preference for egg size. To avoid egg size becoming too large at the end of lay, we advise reducing the quantity of vegetable oil being used.



# **Feed presentation**

#### Importance of the feed particle size

Mixing difficulties, inappropriate particle size and separation problems have been resolved by milling the raw materials relatively fine.

However, diets, which are too finely ground, often seriously reduce feed intake. Low consumption has been avoided by using diets presented as crumbs or pellets. In effect, the ease of eating and the reduction in feeding time, due to pelleting, leads to an increase in the number of feeds taken by the birds and in their growth. This effect is observed in both laying hens and broiler chickens.

Birds are grain eaters and their feed consumption depends on feed presentation.

#### Pelleted or crumbled diets for layers

In theory, presenting a diet in crumb or pellet form will give higher feed consumption. That presupposes that the feeding systems in operation and the raw materials used are providing the laying hen with a good quality pellet or crumb.

Very often, the difficulties in obtaining a good quality crumb are responsible for under-consumption and some technical problems because of:

- the breaking down of the crumb in the feed distribution system
- the build-up of fine feed particles in the feeders
- more shell quality problems related to the difficulties in using a granular limestone
- more feather pecking due to a shorter feeding time

This all can result in increased manufacturing costs. To develop a good digestive system, it is necessary to have coarsely milled feed. With the intention of keeping good shell quality we suggest:


- use granular limestone if the diameter of the diet is adapted
- · add some of the limestone after pelleting
- distribute 3 to 4g per bird of granular limestone (2 to 4mm) in the poultry house each afternoon.

#### Mash diets of good texture

During rearing, except for the first 4 or 5 weeks, when the diet should be crumbs, a good particle size will allow good growth and the development of a robust digestive system.

During the laying period, a good textured diet will allow the birds to increase their feed consumption, their production and their growth.

Table 19 shows the results of Summers and Leeson (1979) when they were comparing a fine mash with a diet of 60% cracked maize and whole barley grains.



#### Table 19. Effects of structure on consumption and performance

	Cracked Maize + Whole Barley	Fine Mash
Consumption (g/day)	114.5	102.0
Rate of lay (%)	86.9	85.1
Egg weight (g)	59.6	56.8

Source: Summers and Leeson, 1979

In hot climates, a good textured feed can reduce the under-consumption experienced in summer. That's why, we advise having at least 75 to 80% of the particles between 0.5 and 3.2mm. This type of diet is in fact easier and cheaper to produce, because the rate of output from the grinder is increased.

### Particle sizes less than 0.5mm:15% maximumParticle sizes above 3.2mm:10% maximum

These recommendations also apply to the rearing diets after the age of 4 or 5 weeks. The attractiveness of the diet improves markedly if the fine particles are sticking together. That can be achieved by the addition of 1.5 to 2.5% vegetable oil.

#### The choice of raw materials

Avoid raw materials, which are too dusty, and do not grind ingredients, which don't need grinding.

When the diet does not contain meat meal 60 to 70% of the calcium carbonate should be supplied as granules of 2-4mm diameter. When meat meal is included the proportion in granular form should be increased to 80%.

The phosphates should be supplied as micro-granules.

#### **Grinding technique**

A well textured mash can be obtained by observing the following rules:

- The speed at the periphery of the hammers should be 50 to 55 m/sec. This speed corresponds to about 1500 rpm for a grinder of 65cm diameter.
- We recommend using grill mesh screens in preference to those with round perforations. They have a higher proportion of spaces and allow higher throughputs.
- The hole diameters should be the following:
  - For wire screens = 8mm minimum
  - For screens with round perforations = 8mm minimum, 10mm maximum.
- Note: Using worn hammers gives an increase in the percentage of fine particles and reduces the output of the grinder.
- Only mill those raw materials that require it.
- Check the texture of the raw material at least twice a week.

#### Fiber for layers

Birds also have a specific requirement for fiber during egg production. They must find fiber in the feed or in their immediate environment. It has been shown that birds that are deficient in fiber ingest feathers as a fiber source. Feathers may be taken from the floor or pecked from other birds. Monitor feather presence on the floor and if no feathers are found, check gizzards for feathers. This is a valuable tool to identify the origin of feather pecking behavior in a flock.

An appropriate supply of fibers results in a good feather cover, livability, intestinal health, digestion (of mainly starch) and drier manure quality. Livability is positively influenced by fibers because it increases the feeling of satiety in birds, which in turn results in quieter birds, by eliminating need for feather ingestion (pecking and cannibalism). Diluting the feed helps to maintain a good energy balance in older birds and to prevent fatty livers.

Characteristics of good fiber sources for layers are insoluble fiber of a coarse structure. Cellulose, hemicellulose and especially lignin are classified as insoluble fiber. These fibers are not digested or fermented in the gastrointestinal tract, and therefore serve as filling material that stimulate gastrointestinal movements without increasing the viscosity of the intestinal contact. If fiber particles are small (finely ground), the effect on gastrointestinal movements is minimal and coarse fiber is recommended. Inclusion rates of 2.5% of insoluble fiber are considered to be low in fiber, while 5% of insoluble fiber is considered to be high in fiber. Beyond the start of lay fiber content can be increased. The inclusion of 6-7% of insoluble fiber (by oat hulls) gives good results in terms of production parameters and livability.

Fiber can be included in the feed or provided in the direct environment. Preferred "in-feed" insoluble fiber sources are oilseed meals like sunflower meal and rapeseed meal, but also oats and oat hulls. Cereal by-products (like bran) are also a good source of insoluble fiber, however cereal byproducts have a fine structure and therefore have minimal effect on gastrointestinal movements, which makes them less suitable as a fiber source.

Fiber that is provided in addition to the diet can be coarse fiber such as straw, alfalfa (lucerne), wood shaving, rice/ oat husk, silage, etc. These materials must be available in the building through round feeders, or directly as a ball on the scratching area. Birds must have free access to fiber sources at all times. We advise not spreading fiber directly on the floor. To prevent floor eggs, fiber supply must be introduced after the peak of production when the birds are well trained to use the nest.



# **Suggested premix composition**

#### Table 20. Suggested premix composition for commercial layers

For commercial lavor		Rearing	period	Laying period
For commercial layers		0-10 (weeks of age)	10 wks - 2% Lay	
	Ad	lded trace elements mg p	er kg of diet	
Manganese (Mn)	ррт	60	60	70
Zinc (Zn)	ppm	60	60	60
Iron (Fe)	ppm	60	60	60
lodine (I)	ppm	1	1	1
Copper (Cu)	ppm	8	6	8
Selenium (Se)	ppm	0.25	0.25	0.25
Cobalt (Co)	ppm	0.25	0.15	0.15
	Ado	led vitamins per kg of die	t in IU or mg	
Vitamin A	IU	13000	10000	10000
Vitamin D3	IU	3000	2000	2500
Vitamin E	mg	25	25	20
Vitamin K3	mg	3	3	3
Vitamin B1 (Thiamine)	mg	2	2	2
Vitamin B2 (Riboflavin)	mg	5	5	5
Vitamin B6 (Pyridoxine)	mg	5	5	5
Vitamin B12	mg	0.02	0.01	0.015
Nicotinic Acid (Niacin)	mg	60	40	40
Pantothenic acid	mg	15	12	12
Folic Acid	mg	0.75	0.75	0.75
Biotin	mg	0.2	0.1	0.05
Vitamin C in hot climate or during summer time	mg			100
Tota	l Choline req	uirement per kg of diet (r	aw materials included) m	g
Choline	mg/kg	1600	1400	1400
Choline	mg/day	-	-	160

#### Mixing

Trace elements and vitamins should be correctly mixed before being added to the raw materials. Premixes have to be mixed at a minimum level of 3kg per tonne. Improper mixing or handling can be checked by dosing Manganese as a tracer.

#### **Toxicity of some minerals**

Maximum admissible levels for different minerals can be estimated as follows:

Potassium	2000 ppm
Sodium	5000 ppm
Iron	500 ppm
Zinc	2000 ppm
Selenium	10 ppm
Vanadium	10 ppm (due to contamination from rock phosphates)
Magnesium	5000 ppm
Chloride	5000 ppm
Manganese	1000 ppm
Copper	300-500 ppm
lodine	300-500 ppm

#### **Reference list**

Bougon, M., Joly, P., Influence du niveau énergétique sur les performances des pondeuses à oeufsroux et évolution de l'ingéré en fonction de l'âge. 2ême Journée de la Recherche Avicole (1997) 2:115–120.

Koreleski, J., Swiatkiewicz, S., Calcium from limestone meal and grit in laying hen diets-effect on performance, eggshell and bone quality. J. Anim. Feed Sci. (2004) 13:635–645.

Newcombe, M., Summers, J.D., Effect of increasing cellulose in diets fed as crumbles or mash on the food intake and weight gains of broiler and Leghorn chicks. British Poultry Science (1985) Vol. 26, Iss. 1.

Rao, K. S., Roland, D.A., Influence of dietary calcium level and particle size of calcium source on in vivo calcium solubilization by commercial Leghorns. Poultry science 68.11 (1989): 1499-1505.

Sauveur, B,. Lésions osseuses et articulaires des pattes des volailles: rôles de l'alimentation." INRA Prod. Anim 1.1 (1988): 35-45.

Zhang, B., Coon C.N., The relationship of calcium intake, source, size, solubility in vitro and in vivo, and gizzard limestone retention in laying hens. Poultry Science 76.12 (1997): 1702-1706.

DISCLAIMER: This Nutrition Management Guide has been prepared by Institut de Sélection Animale B.V. to inform readers of its activities in the broadest sense. It is by no means intended to be complete, not even on the aspects mentioned herein. There are no implied or explicit guarantees given by Institut de Sélection Animale B.V. and its shareholders as to the accuracy and completeness of the provided information in this Nutrition Management Guide.



hendrix-genetics.com