

This chapter has been extensively revised and updated. Although some portions of the existing text have been incorporated, new text and deleted text have not been marked, in the interest of clarity

1 NB: Last adopted by the ~~World Health Assembly~~ ^{World Health Assembly} of Delegates of the OIE in May 2008

2 PRINCIPLES OF VETERINARY 3 VACCINE PRODUCTION

4 SUMMARY

5 *A reliable supply of pure, safe, potent, and effective vaccines is essential for maintenance of animal*
6 *health and the successful operation of animal health programmes. Immunisation of animals with*
7 *high quality vaccines is the primary means of control for many animal diseases. In other cases,*
8 *vaccines are used in conjunction with national disease control or eradication programmes.*

9 *The requirements and procedures described here are intended to be general in nature and to be*
10 *consistent with published standards that are generally available for guidance in the production of*
11 *veterinary vaccines. The approach to ensuring the purity, safety, potency, and efficacy of veterinary*
12 *vaccines may vary from country to country depending on local needs. However, proper standards*
13 *and production controls are essential to ensure the availability of consistent, high quality products*
14 *for use in animal health programmes.*

15 *As the pathogenesis and epidemiology of each disease varies, the role and efficacy of vaccination*
16 *as a means of control also varies from one disease to another. Some vaccines may be highly*
17 *efficacious, inducing an immunity that not only prevents clinical signs of the disease, but may also*
18 *prevent infection and reduce multiplication and shedding of the disease-causing agent. Other*
19 *vaccines may prevent clinical disease, but not prevent infection and/or the development of the*
20 *carrier state. In other cases, immunisation may be completely ineffective or only able to reduce the*
21 *severity of the disease. Thus the decision whether to recommend vaccination as part of an animal*
22 *disease control strategy requires a thorough knowledge of the characteristics of the disease agent*
23 *and its epidemiology, as well as the characteristics and capabilities of the various available*
24 *vaccines. There is also growing public interest in the beneficial implications for animal welfare of the*
25 *use of veterinary vaccines as a means of disease control. In any case, if vaccines are used,*
26 *successful performance requires that they be produced in a manner that ensures a uniform and*
27 *consistent product of high quality.*

28 *As for all medicines, vaccine production starts within research and development (R&D) facilities,*
29 *carrying out all the preclinical studies which are intended to demonstrate the quality of the products,*
30 *including the safety and the efficacy. All these studies are generally carried out according to*
31 *international reference standards such as good laboratory practice (GLP) for preclinical studies and*
32 *good clinical practice (GCP) for clinical studies.*

33 *Before release of a vaccine for use in a country, a license or marketing authorisation must be*
34 *requested from and be assessed and authorised by the competent authority to ensure compliance*
35 *with local product marketing authorisation conditions. Starting materials to be used, manufacturing*
36 *steps, in-process controls and controls on the finished product before release by a responsible*
37 *person should be described in the marketing authorisation dossier, as should be the necessary*
38 *tests to demonstrate quality, safety, and efficacy of the vaccine.*

39 *After the marketing authorisation has been granted by a competent authority, the industrial*
40 *production can be launched in a manufacturing site which is authorised by the competent authority*
41 *in accordance with national requirements and having the relevant equipment, facilities and*
42 *personnel for production and controls. The manufacturing site should be inspected on a regular*
43 *basis by experienced official inspectors.*

44 *Quality assurance is an integral part of the production of pure, safe and efficacious vaccines. This*
45 *chapter outlines critical check points, with more details provided in chapters 1.1.8*

46 Recommendations for manufacturing sites for veterinary vaccines and 1.1.9 Quality control of
47 vaccines. *It is a step-wise and iterative process. Compliance with the full standards described in*
48 *these chapters can be achieved through risk analysis and step-wise process improvement.*

49 NOMENCLATURE

50 The nomenclature for veterinary biological products varies from country to country. For example, in the United
51 States of America (USA) the term 'vaccine' is used for products containing live¹ or inactivated viruses or protozoa,
52 live bacteria, or nucleic acids. Products containing killed bacteria and other microorganisms are identified as
53 bacterins, bacterial extracts, conventional or recombinant subunits, bacterintoxoids, or toxoids, depending on the
54 type of antigen they contain. For example, products containing antigenic or immunising components of
55 microorganisms may be called 'subunits' or 'bacterial extracts', and those produced from the inactivation of toxins
56 are called 'toxoids'. In the European Union (EU), Immunological Veterinary Medicinal Products are defined as
57 'products administered to animals in order to produce active or passive immunity or to diagnose the state of
58 immunity', see Directive 2001/82/EC, as amended by Directive 2004/28/EC. For this chapter, however, the term
59 'vaccine' will include all products designed to stimulate active immunisation of animals against disease, without
60 regard to the type of microorganism or microbial toxin from which they may be derived or that they contain. This
61 use is more consistent with international nomenclature. 'Vaccine' will not be used in this discussion in reference to
62 biological products recommended for passive immunisation, immunomodulation, treatment of allergies, or
63 diagnosis.

64 VACCINE TYPES OR FORMS

65 Vaccines may be prepared as live or inactivated (killed) products. Some live vaccines are prepared from low
66 virulence, mild, field isolates of a disease-causing agent that have been found to be safe and effective when
67 administered by an unnatural route or under other conditions where exposure to the microorganism will immunise
68 rather than cause disease. Other live vaccines are prepared from isolates of disease-causing agents that have
69 been modified by passage through laboratory animals, culture media, cell cultures, or avian embryos to select a
70 variant of reduced virulence. The development of recombinant DNA (rDNA) procedures has provided some
71 unique opportunities for vaccine production. Modified live vaccines may now be specifically produced by deletion
72 of virulence-related genes from a microorganism. Others are produced by the insertion of genes that code for
73 specific immunising antigens from a disease-causing microorganism into a nonvirulent vector microorganism.
74 Nucleic-acid-mediated vaccines containing plasmid DNA are being developed. The DNA is usually in plasmid
75 form and codes for immunising antigens from disease-causing microorganisms.

76 Killed products may contain: 1) Cultures of microorganisms that have been inactivated by chemical or physical
77 means; 2) Inactivated toxins; or 3) Subunits (antigenic parts of microorganisms) that have been extracted from
78 cultures or that have been produced through rDNA procedures.

79 Both live and inactivated vaccines may contain different antigenic components and may be formulated with
80 adjuvants, stabilisers, antimicrobial preservatives and diluents. Adjuvants are designed to enhance the
81 immunising efficacy of the vaccine. Those used frequently are typically water-in-oil emulsions (either single or
82 double), made with mineral or vegetable oil and an emulsifying agent.

83 Other adjuvants, such as aluminium hydroxide gel or saponin, are also used. In addition to these traditional
84 adjuvants, vaccines are being developed that include additional ingredients that induce immunomodulatory effects
85 in the host animal and serve to enhance the efficacy of the product. These ingredients may include immunogenic
86 components of microorganisms such as killed bacteria, which stimulate the immune response to other fractions
87 contained in the vaccine, or cytokines, which may be used to regulate specific aspects of the immune system and
88 are included in rDNA constructs used in products manufactured through biotechnology.

89 Many products obtained by biotechnology have now been licensed or approved, and more are being developed.
90 Products of rDNA technology do not differ fundamentally from conventional products. Therefore, existing laws and
91 regulations are fully applicable to these new products.

92 Each competent authority with power to regulate organisms and products derived from recombinant techniques
93 should ensure that the public health and the environment are protected from any potentially harmful effect.
94 Veterinary vaccines derived through rDNA technology may be divided into three broad categories. The division is
95 based on the products' biological properties and on the safety concerns they present.

1 The generic term "live" (usually modified or attenuated) is used throughout this *Terrestrial Manual* to differentiate from inactivated organisms, although it is recognised that in the case of viruses they cannot be considered truly alive.

96 Category I consists of non-viable or killed products that pose negligible risk to the environment and present no
97 new or unusual safety concerns. Such products include inactivated microorganisms, either whole or as subunits,
98 created by using rDNA techniques.

99 Category II products contain live microorganisms modified by adding or deleting one or more gene(s). Added
100 genes may code for marker antigens, enzymes, or other biochemical by-products. Deleted genes may code for
101 virulence, oncogenicity, marker antigens, enzymes, or other biochemical by-products. The marketing
102 authorisation application must include a characterisation of the DNA segments added or deleted, as well as a
103 phenotypic characterisation of the altered organism. The genetic modifications must not result in any increase in
104 virulence, pathogenicity, or survivability of the altered organism in comparison with the wild-type form. It is
105 important that the genetic modification does not cause deterioration in the safety characteristics of the organism.

106 Category III products make use of live vectors to carry recombinant-derived foreign genes that code for
107 immunising antigens. Live vectors may carry one or more foreign gene(s) that have been shown to be effective for
108 immunising target host animals. The use of DNA vaccines containing recombinant-derived foreign genes that
109 code for immunising antigens (plasmid DNA vaccines) constitutes a new approach to vaccine development. The
110 proper categorisation of this type of rDNA-derived product will be established as biological properties and safety
111 characteristics are determined. These new vaccines may find application in a wide variety of situations much as
112 conventional products have.

113 **VACCINE PRODUCTION**

114 **1. Quality assurance**

115 Quality assurance is a wide-ranging concept that covers all matters that individually or collectively influence the
116 quality of a product. It is the total sum of the organised arrangements made with the object of ensuring that
117 medicinal products are of the quality required for their intended use, ranging from process control, improvement
118 and inspection, testing of the quality, efficacy and safety of the vaccines to assurance achieved through
119 competent authority procedures. It is a step-wise and iterative process, and compliance with the standards
120 described in these chapters can be achieved through risk analysis and step-wise process improvement. The basic
121 concepts of quality assurance, good manufacturing practice (GMP), quality risk management and quality control
122 are inter-related. See chapter 1.1.9 for full details.

123 **2. Production facilities**

124 Facilities used for the production of vaccines should be designed to protect the purity of the product throughout
125 the production process, and to safeguard the health of the personnel, **and provide secure containment of any**
126 **disease causing agents.**

127 For each vaccine, there should be a detailed production plan that describes where each step in the production
128 process will occur. This plan should be documented in a detailed standard operating procedure (SOP) or by
129 providing a building blueprint and accompanying blueprint legend. Each room in the establishment should be
130 uniquely identified, and all functions performed and all microorganisms involved should be specified for each
131 room. Disinfection procedures, monitoring of equipment and other procedures used in the operation of the
132 facilities to prevent contamination or errors during production should also be documented. This plan should be
133 updated as new products or microorganisms are added to the facility, or other changes or improvements in
134 procedures are developed.

135 The requirements for vaccine production facilities are described in more detail in chapter 1.1.8.

136 **3. Documentation of the manufacturing process and record keeping**

137 A detailed Outline of Production, a series of SOPs, or other documents should also be prepared to describe the
138 protocol for the manufacture and testing of each product produced in an establishment.

139 Criteria and standards for source materials should be clearly and accurately documented.

140 Guidelines for the preparation of such documents for veterinary vaccines are published by competent control
141 authorities. This documentation is intended to define the product and to establish its specifications and standards.
142 It should serve, along with the blueprints and blueprint legends (or production plan and SOPs), as a uniform and
143 consistent method of producing the product that should be followed in the preparation of each batch/serial (one
144 master batch record for each product).

145 The producer should establish a detailed record-keeping system capable of tracking the performance of
146 successive steps in the preparation of each biological product. Records kept should indicate the date that each
147 essential step was taken, the name of the person who carried out the task, the identity and quantity of ingredients
148 added or removed at each step, and any loss or gain in quantity in the course of the preparation. Records should
149 be maintained of all tests conducted on each batch/serial. All records relevant to a batch/serial of product should
150 be retained for at least 2 years after the expiry date on the label, or in line with the requirements of the competent
151 control authority.

152 Details of documentation required at a manufacturing site are described in chapter 1.1.8.

153 **4. Production**

154 Because of the wide variety of products, the frequently large number of stages involved in the manufacture of
155 vaccines and the nature of the biological processes, each stage must be constantly monitored. Adherence to
156 validated operating procedures and in-process controls is critical.

157 The specifications and source of all product ingredients should be defined in the Outline of Production, SOPs, or
158 other appropriate documents. The Outline of Production must be approved by the competent authority. All
159 ingredients of animal origin that are not subject to a validated sterilisation procedure should also be tested to
160 ensure freedom from extraneous bacteria, fungi, mycoplasma, and viruses as specified in Chapter 1.1.7. *Tests for*
161 *sterility and freedom from contamination of biological materials*. Their country of origin should be known.
162 Measures should be implemented by the manufacturer to avoid the risk of transmissible spongiform
163 encephalopathy (TSE) agent contamination by ingredients of animal origin.

164 Some control authorities discourage the use of preservatives, especially antibiotics as a means of controlling
165 adventitious contamination during production and prefer the use of strict aseptic techniques to ensure purity.
166 However, they sometimes allow the use of preservatives in multidose containers to protect the product during use.
167 These control authorities usually limit any addition of antibiotics in the manufacture of the product to cell culture
168 fluids and other media, egg inocula, and material harvested from skin or possibly other tissues. Some control
169 authorities prohibit the use of penicillin or streptomycin in vaccines administered by aerosol or parenterally. If the
170 antibiotics used are not recommended for use in the target species, they should be shown to have no harmful
171 effects in the vaccinated animals and not result in the contamination of food derived from vaccinated animals.

172 Details of vaccines production required at a manufacturing site, including requirements for starting materials, cell
173 bank systems and seed-lot systems are described in chapter 1.1.8.

174 **5. Process validation**

175 Prior to obtaining a marketing authorisation for any new product, each establishment should produce in its
176 facilities three consecutive production batches/serials of completed product to evaluate the consistency of
177 production. The process used should be representative of the manufacturing procedure shown to be safe and
178 efficacious during the preclinical studies.

179 These batches/serials should be prepared according to the procedures described in the Outline of Production and
180 blueprints and legends, SOPs or other documentation of the manufacturing process and should therefore be
181 'typical of production'. Some authorities require that the size of each of the three batches/serials should be at
182 least one-third the size of the average batch/serial that will be produced once the product is in production.

183 The manufacturer should test each of these batches/serials for purity, safety, and potency as provided in the
184 Outline of Production or other documentation of the manufacturing process. Applicable standard requirements
185 and test procedures, for example those described in CFR Title 9 Part 113, in the Annex to EU Directive
186 2001/82/EC (as amended), in the European Pharmacopoeia, or as described in this *Terrestrial Manual* may be
187 used. Satisfactory test results should be demonstrated for all three batches/serials prior to approving the
188 production of the product in the facilities and its release for marketing. Each subsequent batch/serial should be
189 tested in the same manner with satisfactory results prior to release for marketing.

190

191 6. Stability tests

192 It is important to monitor the stability of each product through a programme of on-going stability. Additional
193 information is given in the chapter 1.1.9.

194 Conditions of storage affecting the quality of the product should be taken into account as evaluated in the
195 marketing authorisation, including light, temperature and the adhesive/absorptive properties of containers. All
196 vaccines are sensitive to heat to some extent, but some are more sensitive than others. There is increasing
197 interest in the development of vaccines that can tolerate adverse storage conditions. In this *Terrestrial Manual*,
198 thermotolerant (see Glossary of Terms) is defined as the ability of live vaccines to retain a level of infectivity after
199 exposure to heat, that is, the delayed heat degradation temperatures above 8°C. It is defined by the length of time
200 the vaccine will retain a potency sufficient to induce a protective immune response. By the latter criterion the term
201 can also be applied to killed vaccines.

202 7. Tests to demonstrate safety and efficacy of a vaccine

203 All laboratory procedures and tests should be conducted in compliance with an international standard such as
204 Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), see chapter 1.1.9. Similarly tests in animals should comply with Good Clinical
205 Practice (GCP). Submission of the results of the tests described below would normally be required in a dossier
206 supporting a request for the granting of a marketing authorisation or license.

207 7.1. Safety tests

208 7.1.1. Target animal safety tests

209 Harmonised international guidelines for safety tests are published by the International
210 Cooperation on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Veterinary Medical
211 Products (VICH) in VICH GL 44 Target animal safety for veterinary live and inactivated vaccines
212 (<http://www.vichsec.org/guidelines/biologicals/bio-safety/target-animal-safety.html>). An overdose
213 test is required for live vaccines shown to retain residual pathogenicity by induction of disease-
214 specific signs or lesions. In general other vaccines do not require overdose testing.

215 For vaccines that require a single life-time dose or primary vaccination series only, the primary
216 vaccination regimen should be used. For vaccines that require a single dose or primary
217 vaccination series followed by booster vaccination, the primary vaccination regimen plus an
218 additional dose should be used.

219 The intrinsic safety of vaccines should be demonstrated early in product development and
220 documented as part of the licensing dossier. Safety studies during development and licensing
221 should include the safety of a single dose for all products, as well as the safety of an overdose
222 in the case of live vaccines and of repeated single doses for vaccines that require more than
223 one dose during the lifetime of the animal. Additional data are derived for live vaccines from the
224 increase in virulence tests and by assessing risk to the environment and in-contact animals, as
225 discussed below. Safety should be demonstrated in each species for which the product is
226 indicated.

227 For inactivated virus or bacterial products, where host animals are used for potency testing,
228 safety may be determined by measuring local and systemic responses following vaccination
229 and before challenge in the potency tests. Further evidence concerning the safety of products is
230 derived from field safety trials (discussed below). Vaccines derived through biotechnology
231 should be evaluated as discussed in the classification of biotechnology-derived vaccines and
232 release of live rDNA vaccines below.

233 7.1.2. Increase in virulence tests

234 With live vaccines, there is concern that the organism might be shed from the host and
235 transmitted to contact animals, causing disease if it retains residual virulence or reverts to
236 virulence with repeated host passages. Guidelines for testing are published by VICH: GL 41:
237 Examination of live veterinary vaccines in target animals for absence of reversion to virulence
238 (<http://www.vichsec.org/guidelines/biologicals/bio-safety/target-animal-safety.html>).

239 All live vaccines should be tested for virulence by means of passage studies. Vaccine
240 organisms are propagated *in vivo* by inoculating a group of target animals with master seed, in
241 principle; this inoculation uses the natural route of infection for the organism that is most likely to
242 result in infection and reversion or a recommended route of administration of the vaccine
243 manufactured from this master seed. The vaccine organism is recovered from tissues or

244 excretions and is used directly to inoculate a further group of animals, and so on. After not less
245 than four passages, i.e. use of a total of five groups of animals, the isolate must be fully
246 characterised, using the same procedures used to characterise the master seed. Regulatory
247 authority opinion varies in whether or not it is acceptable to propagate *in vitro* between
248 passages organisms that otherwise cannot be passaged five times because of their degree of
249 attenuation. The vaccine organism must retain an acceptable level of attenuation after
250 propagation in this way.

251 **7.1.3. Assessing risk to the environment**

252 The ability of each live vaccine to shed, to spread to contact target and non-target animals, and
253 to persist in the environment must be evaluated to provide information for assessing the risk of
254 the vaccine to the environment, taking into account human health. In some cases this may be
255 done in conjunction with the increase in virulence tests. In the case of live vaccines strains that
256 may be zoonotic, the risk for humans should be assessed. These and additional considerations
257 are especially important in the case of products based on biotechnology or recombinant DNA
258 techniques; more information about such products is provided in other sections.

259 **7.2. Efficacy tests**

260 **7.2.1. Laboratory efficacy**

261 The efficacy of veterinary vaccines should be demonstrated by statistically valid vaccination–
262 challenge studies in the host animal, using the most sensitive, usually the youngest, animals for
263 which the product is to be recommended. Data should support the efficacy of the vaccine in
264 each animal species by each vaccination regimen that is described in the product label
265 recommendation. This includes studies regarding the onset of protection when claims for onset
266 are made in the product labelling and for the duration of immunity. The tests should be
267 performed under controlled conditions starting, wherever possible, with seronegative animals.
268 Where validated potency tests are available, target species vaccination–challenge studies may
269 not be required if predictive serological test results are available. The application of procedures
270 to replace, reduce, and refine animal tests (the ‘three Rs rule’) should be encouraged whenever
271 possible.

272 Efficacy studies should be conducted with final product vaccine that has been produced at the
273 highest passage level from the master seed that is permitted in the Outline of Production, or
274 other documentation of the manufacturing process. This will have specified the minimum
275 amount of antigen per dose that must be in the final product throughout the entire authorised
276 shelf-life. Where a range of antigen level per dose is permitted, the antigen level per dose in the
277 vaccine tested for efficacy must be at or below the minimum permitted amount. The precise
278 challenge method and the criteria for determining protection vary with the immunising agent and
279 should be standardised whenever possible.

280 Field efficacy studies may be used to confirm the results of laboratory studies or to demonstrate
281 efficacy when meaningful vaccination–challenge studies are not feasible. However, it is
282 generally more difficult to obtain statistically significant data to demonstrate efficacy under field
283 conditions. Protocols for field studies are more complex, and care must be given to establish
284 proper controls to ensure the validity of the data. Even when properly designed, field efficacy
285 studies may be inconclusive because of uncontrollable outside influences. Some problems
286 include: a highly variable level of challenge; a low incidence of disease in non-vaccinated
287 controls; and exposure to other organisms causing a similar disease. Therefore, efficacy data
288 from both laboratory and field studies may be required to establish the efficacy of some
289 products, as well as ‘*a posteriori*’ field trials linked to vaccinovigilance.

290 **7.2.2. Interference tests**

291 Consideration must be given to possible interference between two different vaccines from the
292 same manufacturer recommended to be given to the same animal within a 2-week period. The
293 safety and the efficacy of this association should be investigated.

294 **7.2.3. Field tests (safety and efficacy)**

295 **7.2.3.1. All vaccines**

296 All veterinary vaccines administered to animals should be tested for safety and, if possible,
297 for efficacy in the field, using GCP, before being authorised for general use. Field studies
298 are designed to demonstrate efficacy under working conditions and to detect unexpected
299 reactions, including mortality that may not have been observed during the development of
300 the product. Under field conditions there are many uncontrollable variables that make it

301 difficult to obtain good efficacy data, but demonstration of safety is more reliable. The tests
302 should be done on the host animal, at a variety of geographical locations, using
303 appropriate numbers of susceptible animals. The test animals should represent all the
304 ages and husbandry practices for which the product is indicated; unvaccinated controls
305 must be included. The product tested should be one or more production batches/serials. A
306 protocol should be developed indicating the observation methods and the recording
307 methods.

308 **7.2.3.2. Additional requirements for live rDNA products**

309 The release of live rDNA microorganisms (Categories II and III) for field testing or general
310 distribution as an approved or licensed product may have a significant effect on the quality
311 of the human and animal environment. Before release is authorised, the manufacturers of
312 the vaccine should conduct a risk assessment to evaluate the impact on the human and
313 animal environment. In the USA, for example, a procedure is adopted that could be used
314 as a model system in other countries. The EU has adopted a similar system. It is
315 performed as follows:

316 A risk assessment is carried out that should contain the following information:

- 317 i) the purpose and need for the proposed action;
- 318 ii) the alternatives considered;
- 319 iii) a list of the government agencies, organisations, and persons consulted;
- 320 iv) the affected environment and the potential environmental consequences.

321 The topics discussed should include:

- 322 i) the characteristics of the vaccine organism,
- 323 ii) human health risks,
- 324 iii) animal health risks for both target and nontarget animals,
- 325 iv) persistence in the environment, and increase in virulence.

326 If the risk assessment results in a finding by competent authorities that the proposed
327 release of the recombinant vaccine into the environment for field trials or general
328 distribution would not have a significant impact on the environment, a notice should be
329 published and distributed to the public announcing this and that the risk assessment and
330 findings are available for public review and comment. If no substantive comments are
331 received to refute the findings, competent authorities may authorise the field testing or
332 grant the license or approval for general distribution.

333 The preparation of a risk assessment and the findings made from the assessment may
334 also include the scheduling of one or more public meetings if a proposed action has
335 ecological or public health significance. Such meetings should be announced through a
336 public notice. Interested persons should be invited to make presentations, along with
337 presentations by the producer of the product, and government personnel. The transcripts
338 of such meetings should become part of the public record.

339 If, in the course of preparing a risk assessment, competent authorities conclude that the
340 proposed action may have a significant effect on the **human**—environment, an
341 environmental impact statement (EIS) should be prepared. The EIS provides a full and fair
342 discussion of the significant environmental impacts, and informs decision-makers and the
343 public of any reasonable alternatives that would avoid or minimise the adverse impacts.
344 Environmental documents are considered in the United States Code of Federal
345 Regulations [CFR] Title 40 part 1508. The EU has issued guidelines under Directive
346 2001/18/EC: *Guideline on Live Recombinant Vector Vaccines for Veterinary Use*, see
347 [http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2009/10/WC](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2009/10/WC500004590.pdf)
348 [500004590.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2009/10/WC500004590.pdf)

349

350 8. Updating the Outline of Production

351 Before production procedures are changed, the corresponding Outline of Production or other documentation of
352 the manufacturing process should be changed. Establishments should have internal review procedures to
353 evaluate all changes in production before they are initiated. Changes should also be reviewed and approved by
354 competent authorities prior to their implementation.

355 In cases where a significant production step is altered, revisions may require additional data to support the purity,
356 safety, potency, efficacy **or stability** of the product. In countries with regulatory systems that include confirmatory
357 testing the final product at national laboratories, revisions should entail testing of the revised product by
358 competent authorities.

359 QUALITY CONTROLS IN VACCINE PRODUCTION

360 1. Principle

361 Quality control is concerned with sampling, specifications and testing as well as the organisation, documentation
362 and release procedures. Quality control ensures the necessary and relevant tests are carried out, and that
363 materials **and equipment** are not released for use, nor products released for sale or supply, until their quality has
364 been judged satisfactory. Quality control is not confined to laboratory operations, but must be involved in all
365 decisions that may concern the quality of the product. The independence of quality control from production is
366 considered fundamental to the satisfactory operation of quality control. Details of quality control are described in
367 the chapter 1.1.9.

368 2. Batch/serial release for distribution

369 Prior to release, the manufacturer must test **a representative sample of** each batch/serial for purity, safety, and
370 potency, as well as perform any other tests described in the firm's Outline of Production or other documentation of
371 the manufacturing process for that product. In countries that have national regulatory programmes that include
372 official control authority re-testing (check testing) of final products, samples of each batch/serial should also be
373 submitted for testing in government laboratories by competent authorities. If unsatisfactory results are obtained for
374 tests conducted either by the manufacturer or by competent authorities, the batch/serial should not be released.
375 In such cases, subsequent batches/serials of the product should be given priority for check testing by competent
376 authorities.

377 2.1. Batch/serial purity test

378 Purity is determined by testing for a variety of contaminants. Tests to detect contaminants are
379 performed on **a representative sample of** each batch/serial of final product prior to release.

380 Purity test procedures have been published, for example in CFR Title 9 part 113, in the annex to EU
381 Directive 2001/82/EC (as amended), in the European Pharmacopoeia, or in this *Terrestrial Manual*
382 (chapter 1.1.7), for the detection of extraneous viruses, bacteria, mycoplasma and fungi. Examples
383 include tests for: *Salmonella*, *Brucella*, chlamydial agents, haemagglutinating viruses, avian lymphoid
384 leucosis (virus), pathogens detected by a chicken inoculation test, or a chicken embryo inoculation test,
385 lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, cytopathic and haemadsorbing agents, and pathogens detected by
386 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, polymerase chain reaction, or the fluorescent antibody
387 technique.

388 2.2. Batch/serial safety test

389 Batch/serial safety tests are required by some regulatory authorities for the release of each batch/serial
390 and typical tests are described in CFR Title 9 part 113, in this *Terrestrial Manual* and elsewhere.
391 Standard procedures are given for safety tests in mice, guinea-pigs, cats, dogs, horses, pigs, and
392 sheep and are generally conducted using fewer animals than are used in the safety tests required for
393 licensing. Batches/serials are considered satisfactory if local and systemic reactions to vaccination with
394 the batch/serial to be released are in line with those described in the marketing authorisation dossier
395 and product literature. Some authorities do not permit batch/serial safety testing in laboratory animals,
396 requiring a test in one of the target species for the product. The European Pharmacopoeia no longer
397 requires a batch safety test in target animal species for the release of vaccine batches/serials.

398

399 2.3. Batch/serial potency test

400 Batch/serial potency tests, required for each batch/serial prior to release, are designed to correlate with
401 the host animal vaccination–challenge efficacy studies. For inactivated viral or bacterial products,
402 potency tests may be conducted in laboratory or host animals, or by means of quantitative *in-vitro*
403 methods that have been validated reliably to correlate *in vitro* quantification of important antigen(s) with
404 *in-vivo* efficacy. The potency of live vaccines is generally measured by means of bacterial counts or
405 virus titration. Recombinant DNA or biotechnology-based vaccines should also be tested. Live
406 genetically modified organisms can be quantified like any other live vaccine by titration, and expressed
407 products of recombinant technology are quantified by *in vitro* tests, which may be easier to perform
408 compared with tests on naturally grown antigens because of the in-process purification of the desired
409 product.

410 When testing a live bacterial vaccine for release for marketing, the bacterial count/titre must be
411 sufficiently greater than that shown to be protective in the **master seed** immunogenicity (efficacy) test to
412 ensure that at any time prior to the expiry date, the count/titre will be at least equal to that of the
413 batch/serial used in the immunogenicity test. When testing a live viral vaccine for release, the virus titre
414 must, as a rule, be sufficiently greater than that shown to be protective in the **master seed**
415 immunogenicity test in order to ensure that at any time prior to the expiry date, the titre will be at least
416 equal to that used in the immunogenicity test. Some control authorities specify higher bacterial or viral
417 content than these. It is evident that the appropriate release titre is primarily dependent on the required
418 potency and secondarily dependent on the rate of decay of the bacteria or viruses in the vaccine, as
419 indicated by the stability test.

420 Standard Requirements have been developed and published by competent authorities for potency
421 testing several vaccines. These tests can be found in CFR Title 9 part 113, in the European
422 Pharmacopoeia, and in this *Terrestrial Manual*.

423 3. Other tests

424 3.1. Tests on the finished product

425 Depending on the form of vaccine being produced, certain tests may be indicated and should be
426 provided as appropriate in the Outline of Production or other documentation of the manufacturing
427 process. These tests may concern: the level of moisture contained in desiccated/lyophilised products,
428 the level of residual inactivant in killed products, the complete inactivation of killed products, pH, the
429 level of preservatives and permitted antibiotics, physical stability of adjuvants, retention of vacuum in
430 desiccated/lyophilised products, and a general physical examination of the final vaccine. A loss of
431 potency may result when residual inactivating agent in a killed liquid product used as a diluent for a
432 desiccated/ lyophilised live fraction reduces the viability of the live organisms because of virucidal or
433 bactericidal activity. Each batch/serial of liquid killed vaccine that is to be used as a diluent for live
434 vaccines must, therefore, be tested for virucidal or bactericidal activity prior to release.

435 Tests for these purposes may also be found in CFR Title 9 part 113, in EU Directive 2001/82/EC (as
436 amended), in the European Pharmacopoeia, or in this *Terrestrial Manual*.

437 3.2. Tests on other products

438 3.2.1. Purity

439 Purity is determined by testing for a variety of contaminants. Tests to detect contaminants are
440 performed on **samples of** master seeds, primary cells, master cell stocks (MCS), ingredients of
441 animal origin if not subjected to sterilisation (e.g. fetal bovine serum, bovine albumin, or trypsin).

442 Procedures used to ensure that fetal or calf serum and other ingredients of bovine origin are
443 free of pestiviruses should be of high concern and well documented. Tests to be used to
444 **minimise the risk of impurity ensure purity** vary with the nature of the product, and should be
445 prescribed in the Outline of Production or other documentation of the manufacturing process.

446 3.2.2. Tests for the detection of TSE agents

447 As tests for the detection of TSE agents in ingredients of animal origin have not been developed,
448 vaccine manufacturers should document in their Outlines of Production or SOPs the measures
449 they have implemented to minimise the risk of such contamination in ingredients of animal origin.
450 This relies on three principles: first, verification that sources of all ingredients of animal origin in
451 production facilities are from countries recognised as having the lowest possible risk of bovine

452 spongiform encephalopathy; second, that the tissues or other substances used are themselves
453 recognised as being of low or nil risk of containing TSE agents; third, where relevant, that the
454 processes applied to the material have been validated for inactivation of TSE agents in
455 accordance with the Terrestrial Code. Methods of production should also document the
456 measures taken to prevent cross contamination of low risk materials by higher risk materials
457 during processing.

458 **MARKET MONITORING**

459 **1. Performance monitoring**

460 Marketing authorisation holders or manufacturers are required to maintain an adverse reaction notification system
461 and an effective mechanism for rapid product recall. These should both be subject to audit by regulatory bodies.
462 In many countries, the manufacturer must notify all adverse reactions immediately to the regulatory authority,
463 along with any remedial action taken. An alternative used in some countries is that if at any time, there are
464 indications that raise questions regarding the purity, safety, potency, or efficacy of a product, or if it appears that
465 there may be a problem regarding the preparation, testing or distribution of a product, the manufacturer must
466 immediately notify the regulatory authorities concerning the circumstances and the action taken.

467 After release of a product, its performance under field conditions should continue to be monitored by competent
468 authorities and by the marketing authorisation holder/manufacturer itself. Consumer complaints may serve as one
469 source of information; however, such information needs to be investigated to determine whether the reported
470 observations are related to the use of the product. Users of veterinary vaccines should be informed of the proper
471 procedures for making their complaints. The manufacturer of the product should be informed of all complaints
472 received by competent authorities. Competent authorities should also ascertain whether they have received other
473 similar complaints for this product and, if so, whether the manufacturer has taken appropriate action. Control
474 laboratories may test samples of the batch/serial of product involved, if necessary.

475 Exporting countries and importing countries should ensure that marketing authorisation holders or manufacturers
476 establish a reliable system to monitor adverse reaction notification (vaccinovigilance, post-licensing monitoring) is
477 established to identify, at the earliest stage, any serious problems encountered from the use of veterinary
478 vaccines. Vaccinovigilance should be on-going and an integral part of all regulatory programmes for veterinary
479 vaccines, especially live vaccines. The marketing authorisation holder or manufacturer plays a big part in the
480 conduct of this continuous overall vaccinovigilance evaluation. When it is determined that a product has a quality
481 defect, immediate action should be taken to notify animal health authorities, to remove the product from the
482 market and, if possible, to inform the end users.

483 **2. Enforcement**

484 National programmes established to ensure the purity, safety, potency, and efficacy of veterinary vaccines must
485 have adequate legal authority to ensure compliance with product marketing authorisation conditions and other
486 programme requirements. The goal should be to obtain voluntary compliance with established regulatory
487 requirements. However, when violations occur, competent authorities must have adequate legal authority to
488 protect animal and human health and the public interest. Authority for detention, seizure, and condemnation of
489 products found to be worthless, contaminated, dangerous, or harmful may be valuable for this purpose. Under
490 such authority, product may be detained for a period of time, and if during that time compliance cannot be
491 achieved, competent authorities may seek a court order or decree legal authorisation for seizure and
492 condemnation.

493 The authority to remove or suspend establishment and/or product licenses, obtain injunctions, and stop the sale
494 of product is also needed. Civil penalties or criminal prosecution may also be necessary for serious or deliberate
495 violations.

496 **INSPECTION OF PRODUCTION FACILITIES**

497 Establishments that are approved to produce veterinary biologicals should be subject to in-depth inspections of
498 the entire premises by national competent authorities to ensure compliance with the Outline of Production and
499 blueprints and legends, SOPs, or other documentation related to the manufacturing process. These inspections
500 should be carried out on a regular basis and should allow the assessment of the manufacturing sites with regards
501 to GMP standards.

502 These inspections may include such items as: personnel qualifications; record keeping; general sanitation and
503 laboratory standards; production procedures; operation of sterilisers, pasteurisers, incubators, and refrigerators;

504 filling, desiccating, and finishing procedures; care and control of animals; testing procedures; distribution and
505 marketing; and product destruction.

506 Details regarding the inspection of production facilities and requirements for inspectorates are described in
507 chapter 1.1.9.

508 **FURTHER READING**

509 The following are some suggested texts that contain guidelines on aspects of vaccine production.

510 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) (CFR) (2000). Title 9, Parts 1–199. US
511 Government Printing Office, Washington DC, USA. [http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2006-title9-vol1/pdf/CFR-](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2006-title9-vol1/pdf/CFR-2006-title9-vol1-chapl.pdf)
512 [2006-title9-vol1-chapl.pdf](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2006-title9-vol1/pdf/CFR-2006-title9-vol1-chapl.pdf) or ELECTRONIC CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, accessed at [http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-](http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=a96ece744f88b16cc39202d9cbc5bd&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title09/9tab_02.tpl)
513 [bin/text-idx?SID=a96ece744f88b16cc39202d9cbc5bd&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title09/9tab_02.tpl](http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=a96ece744f88b16cc39202d9cbc5bd&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title09/9tab_02.tpl)

514 EUROPEAN PHARMAOPOEIA 7.0. (2012). European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Health Care
515 (EDQM), Council of Europe, Strasbourg, France.

516 ESPESETH D.A. (1993). Licensing Veterinary Biologics in the United States. The First Steps Towards an
517 International Harmonization of Veterinary Biologics; and Free circulation of vaccines within the EEC. *Dev. Biol.*
518 *Stand.*, **79**, 17–25.

519 ESPESETH D.A. & GOODMAN J.B. (1993). Chapter 13. *In: Licensing and Regulation in the USA. Vaccines for*
520 *Veterinary Application*. Butterworth Heinemann, London, UK, 321–342.

521 EUROPEAN COMMISSION (2006). The Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union. Eudralex.
522 Volumes 1–9. European Commission Enterprise and Industry DG; Directorate F – Consumer goods. Latest
523 versions only available at <http://pharmacos.eudra.org/F2/eudralex/index.htm>.

524 GAY C.G. & ROTH H.J. (1994). Confirming the safety characteristics of recombinant vectors used in veterinary
525 medicine: a regulatory perspective. *Recombinant vectors in vaccine development. Dev. Biol. Stand.*, **82**, 93–105.

526 ROTH H.J. & GAY C.G. (1996). Specific safety requirements for products derived from biotechnology. *In: Veterinary*
527 *Vaccinology*, Pastoret P.-P., Blancou J., Vannier P. & Verschuereen C., eds. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.
528 Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

529 PASTORET P.P., BLANCOU J., VANNIER P. & VERSCHUEREN C., EDS (1997). *Veterinary Vaccinology*. Elsevier Science,
530 Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

531 PIC/S GUIDE AVAILABLE AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: WWW.PICScheme.ORG

532 USDA-APHIS²-VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1999). Categories of Inspection for
533 Licensed Veterinary Biologics Establishments. Veterinary Services Memorandum No. 800.91. Center for
534 Veterinary Biologics, 510 S. 17th Street, Suite 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.

535 USDA-APHIS-VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1999). Veterinary Biological Product
536 Samples. Veterinary Services Memorandum No. 800.59. Center for Veterinary Biologics, 510 S. 17th Street, Suite
537 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.

538 USDA-APHIS- VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1995). Guidelines for Submission of
539 Materials in Support of Licensure. Veterinary Biologics Memorandum No. 800.84. Center for Veterinary Biologics,
540 510 S. 17th Street, Suite 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.

541 USDA-APHIS-VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1995). Veterinary Biologics General
542 Licensing Considerations No. 800.200, Efficacy Studies. USDA-APHIS-Veterinary Biologics, 4700 River Road,
543 Riverdale, Maryland 20737, USA.

544 USDA-APHIS-VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1995). Veterinary Biologics General
545 Licensing Considerations No. 800.201, Back Passage Studies. Center for Veterinary Biologics, 510 S. 17th Street,
546 Suite 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.

2 United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services (APHIS). USDA-APHIS-Center for Veterinary Biologics Home Page: <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/cvb/index.html>

- 547 USDA-APHIS-VETERINARY SERVICES (1964–1994). Standard Assay Methods, Series 100–900. National Veterinary
548 Services Laboratories, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.
- 549 USDA-APHIS- VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1984). Basic License Requirements for
550 Applicants. Veterinary Biologics Memorandum No. 800.50. Center for Veterinary Biologics, 510 S. 17th Street,
551 Suite 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA
- 552 USDA-APHIS-VETERINARY SERVICES-CENTER FOR VETERINARY BIOLOGICS (1988). Guidelines for the Preparation and
553 Review of Labeling Materials. Veterinary Services Memorandum No. 800.54. Center for Veterinary Biologics, 510
554 S. 17th Street, Suite 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.
- 555 *
- 556 * *

557

APPENDIX 1.1.6.1.

558

**RISK ANALYSIS FOR BIOLOGICALS
FOR VETERINARY USE**

559

560

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

561 All products, including biologicals for veterinary use, derived from animals have some capacity to transmit animal
562 disease. The level of this capacity depends on the inherent nature of the products, their source, the treatment that
563 they might have undergone, and the purpose for which they are intended. Biologicals for *in vivo* use in particular
564 will have the highest probability of exposure to animals and as such present the highest risk. Products used for *in*
565 *vitro* purposes can introduce disease into animal populations through deliberate or inadvertent use *in vivo*,
566 contamination of other biologicals, or spread by other means. Even products for diagnosis and research have the
567 potential for close contact with animals. Exotic micro-organisms, some highly pathogenic, which may be held for
568 research and diagnostic purposes in countries free from infection or the diseases they cause, could possibly
569 contaminate other biological products.

570 Veterinary Authorities of importing countries shall make available specific procedural requirements for approval or
571 licensing of biologicals for veterinary use. They may limit supply to registered institutions or *in vitro* use or for non-
572 veterinary purposes where such assurance cannot be provided.

573

*

574

* *

575

APPENDIX 1.1.6.2.

576

RISK ANALYSIS FOR VETERINARY VACCINES

577

INTRODUCTION

578 Risk analysis for veterinary vaccines has to be founded on the principles of quality assurance, which includes
579 quality control, in the production of veterinary vaccines. These recommendations are focused mainly on the risk
580 related to the contamination of vaccines by infectious agents particularly in regard to the risk of importing exotic
581 diseases. The major risk of introducing a disease into a country is through importation of live animals or animal
582 products and rarely through veterinary vaccines. Veterinary vaccines can however be contaminated by disease
583 agents if master seeds, strains, cell cultures, animals or ingredients of animal origin such as fetal calf serum used
584 in production are contaminated or if cross contamination occurs during the production process.

585

PRINCIPLES

586 Exporting countries and importing countries should agree on a system of classification of risks associated with
587 veterinary vaccines taking into account factors such as purification procedures which have been applied.

588 Exporting countries and importing countries should agree on risk analysis models to address specific issues and
589 products. Such risk analysis models should include a scientific risk assessment and formalised procedures for
590 making risk management recommendations and communicating risk. The regulation of veterinary vaccines should
591 include the use of either qualitative or quantitative models.

592 Risk analysis should be as objective and transparent as possible. Step risk and scenario tree methods should be
593 used in risk assessment whenever appropriate, as they identify the critical steps in the production and use of the
594 products where risks arise and help to characterise those risks.

595 The same conclusions about risk analysis may be reached by differing methods. Where methods may differ in
596 countries, the concept of equivalence should apply wherever possible and the methods should be validated to
597 ensure they are of comparable sensitivity.

598

MANUFACTURING PRACTICES

599 The manufacture of veterinary vaccines has special characteristics which should be taken into consideration when
600 implementing and assessing the quality assurance system. Due to the large number of animal species and related
601 pathogenic agents, the variety of products manufactured is very wide and the volume of manufacture is often low;
602 hence, work on a group basis is common. Moreover, because of the very nature of this manufacture (cultivation
603 steps, lack of terminal sterilisation, etc.), the products must be particularly well protected against contamination
604 and cross contamination. The environment must also be protected especially when the manufacture involves the
605 use of pathogenic or exotic biological agents and the worker must be particularly well-protected when the
606 manufacture involves the use of biological agents pathogenic to man.

607 These factors, together with the inherent variability of immunological products, means that the role of the quality
608 assurance system is of the utmost importance. It is important that vaccines should be manufactured in
609 accordance with a recognised codified system that includes specifications regarding equipment, premises,
610 qualification of personnel as well as quality assurance and regular inspections.

611 A commonly agreed system of facility inspection carried out by qualified and specialised inspectors must be in
612 place to assure confidence.

613 **INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED WHEN APPLYING FOR REGISTRATION**
614 **MARKETING AUTHORISATION IN THE IMPORTING COUNTRY**

615 The manufacturer or Veterinary Authority of the exporting country should make available to the importing country
616 the pharmacopoeia it uses. For the importing country it is necessary to have documented both the quality control
617 methods used and the source of each batch of starting materials. The key steps of the manufacturing process of
618 veterinary vaccines should be described in detail to help risk analysis. Risk analysis has to be focused on the
619 quality and safety parts of the application file. Laboratory safety testing should cover target and non-target
620 organisms to obtain sufficient biological data. All test procedures used should correspond with the state of
621 scientific knowledge at the time and should be validated.

622 The description of the method of preparation of the finished product should include an adequate characterisation
623 of the substances needed to prepare the working seeds, the description of the treatments applied to starting
624 materials to prevent contamination, and a statement of the stages of manufacture at which sampling is carried out
625 for process control tests.

626 The results of control tests during production and on finished product, as well as the sensitivity of these tests,
627 have to be available for risk analysis. The stepwise procedures of the control tests should also be available.

628 **CATEGORISATION OF VETERINARY VACCINES**

629 To assist in risk analysis, countries should establish a system of categorisation of veterinary vaccines taking into
630 account criteria such as pathogens used as active ingredients, their inherent characteristics and the risk they pose.

631 In case of live vectored vaccines, the safety of the vector to the targeted and non-targeted species and to human
632 beings must be assessed. Special attention should be paid to potential tissue tropism or host range modification
633 of the recombinant.

634 **VACCINOVIIGILANCE**

635 Exporting countries and importing countries should ensure that a reliable system of vaccinovigilance (post
636 licensing monitoring) is established to identify, at the earliest stage, any serious problems encountered from the
637 use of veterinary vaccines. Vaccinovigilance should be ongoing and an integral part of all regulatory programmes
638 for veterinary vaccines, especially live vaccines.

639 **RISK COMMUNICATION**

640 Reliable data in support of applications submitted in importing countries should be provided by the manufacturer
641 or the Veterinary Authority of the exporting country. Relevant data on risk analysis, changes in animal health
642 situations and vaccinovigilance should be shared by Veterinary Authorities on a continuous basis.

643 *

644 * *

645